

Impacts and Outcomes

| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency | Target | 2014 |
|------------------|---|---|---------------|--------|------|
| CRF_1 | Poverty Score (PMT) | PMT | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_2 | Extreme poverty consumption expenditure | Adhoc study | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_3 | National poverty rates | GLSS | Every 5 years | | |
| CRF_4 | % of LEAP households who have to engage in negative coping strategies | Adhoc Study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_5 | Food intake (Number of meals per day) | Adhoc Study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_6 | Food consumption score | Independent Monitoring Check/Adhoc Stud | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_7 | % of beneficiary children who are aged 0-5 who have been fully immunized | Adhoc Study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_8 | % of beneficiaries with an NHIS Card | Adhoc Study (Impact evaluation) | Annually | | |
| CRF_9 | % of beneficiary households with children aged 0-5 conducting growth monitoring every three months | Adhoc Study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_10 | % in LEAP beneficiary households receiving free clinical or hospital care for maternal, antenatal, postnatal and immunisation | PMT | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_11 | % of beneficiary household members aged 5–17 currently enrolled (disaggregated by 5–13 and 13–17). | Adhoc study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_12 | % of beneficiary household members aged 5–13/13–17 who missed any school during the reference period | Adhoc study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_13 | % of beneficiary household members aged 5–13/13–17 who ever repeated a grade. | Adhoc study (Impact evaluation) | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_14 | % of beneficiaries who registered and/or renewed their NHIS card for free in the last 12 months. | PMT | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_15 | % of beneficiaries enrolled on other SP programmes | Independent Monitoring Check | Every 2 years | | |
| CRF_16 | % of babies of beneficiaries with birth certificate | PMT | Every 2 years | | |

Effective Targeting

Outputs: Effective Targeting

| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| CRF_17 | % of households who meet targeting criteria but are not enrolled (exclusion error) | Adhoc study (Commissioned research) | Every 4 years |
| CRF_18 | % of households who do not meet targeting criteria but are enrolled (inclusion error) | Adhoc study (Commissioned research) | Every 4 years |
| | | | |
| Table 2: Targeting coverage | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| TG_046 | % of communities covered by LEAP | MIS-PMT | Annual |
| TG_047 | % of households covered by LEAP | MIS-PMT | Annual |
| | | | |
| Table 3: Targeting effectiveness | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| TG_048 | % of beneficiaries who understand eligibility criteria | Independent Monitoring Check | Quarterly |
| TG_049 | % of beneficiaries who agree that the selection process was fair | Independent Monitoring Check | Quarterly |
| TG_050 | % of beneficiaries who meet eligibility criteria (excl. poverty measure which can't measure without re-creating PMT) | Independent Monitoring Check | Quarterly |
| TG_051 | % of households in programme areas who can specify targeting criteria | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| TG_052 | % of beneficiaries who have graduated after five years (following recertification) | MIS-targeting module | Annually |
| TG_053 | % of beneficiaries who are satisfied with the targeting process. | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| | | | |
| Table 4: Enrolment | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| TG_054 | % of communities where CLIC gave orientation to beneficiary households prior to enrolment | MIS-targeting module | After targeting cycle |
| TG_055 | % of communities where CLIC gave orientation to community leadership prior to enrolment | MIS-targeting module | |
| TG_056 | % of LEAP eligible households registered or enrolled on day of enrolment | MIS-targeting module | |
| TG_057 | % beneficiaries enrolled on the programme and issued an ID card | MIS-targeting module | |
| TG_058 | % of beneficiaries who missed enrolment exercise | MIS-targeting module | |
| TG_059 | % of beneficiaries who missed enrolment session but subsequently enrolled | MIS-targeting module | |
| | | | |
| Table 5: Graduation | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| TG_061 | % of communities where recertification has taken place in the last 5 years | MIS- targeting module | Every five years |
| TG_062 | % of beneficiaries HH who graduate from the programme | MIS-targeting module | Every five years |
| TG_063 | % of beneficiaries HH who leave the scheme for reasons other than graduation (death, relocation, etc.) | MIS-targeting module | Bi-annual |

ExpandedCoverage

Outputs: Expanded Coverage

| Table 1: LEAP Expansion | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| ExCov_001 | Number of districts enrolled on the programme compared to coverage goal | MIS_targeting | Annual |
| ExCov_002 | % of population enrolled on to the programme compared to coverage goal | MIS_targeting | Annual |
| ExCov_003 | Number of beneficiary households enrolled on to the programme compared to target | MIS_targeting | Annual |
| ExCov_004 | Total number of beneficiaries per year. | MIS_targeting | Annual |
| ExCov_005 | Number of new households enrolled and paid according to agreed expansion plan. | MIS_targeting | Annual |

Outputs: Effective Payments

| Table 1: Payment Volume | | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| PT_001 | Total number of LEAP households | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| PT_002 | Total amount to be paid | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| PT_003 | Number of beneficiaries paid | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| PT_004 | Total amount paid | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| PT_005 | Number of unpaid beneficiaries | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| PT_006 | Total amount unpaid | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| PT_007 | % of funds paid out of total allocation of funds for payments | Ghana Post and PF_3 | Bi-monthly |
| Table 2 | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| PT_0015 | % of LEAP households not paid in the last cycle | PYMT RPTG | Bi-Monthly |
| PT_0016 | Non-collection counts stratified by reason (e.g. absent, deceased, etc.) | PYMT RPTG | Bi-Monthly |
| PT_0018 | % of communities paid during payment window | PYMT RPTG | Bi-Monthly |
| PT_0019 | % of caregivers reporting traveling over xx hours to payment site | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| PT_0020 | % of caregivers reporting waiting over xx hours to payment site | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| PT_0021 | % of payments made on the scheduled date (every 2 months as scheduled). | Financial Reporting | quarterly |
| Table 3: Payment adequacy | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| PT_0022 | Average value of grant per HH | MIS | Bi-monthly |
| PT_0023 | % of HH who are satisfied with the payment process | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| PT_0024 | % of HH reporting that the payment team (those giving out the money) are professional and courteous | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| Table 4 | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| PT_0026 | % of complaints lodged about payments | TBD via case mgmt | Quarterly |
| PT_0027 | % of beneficiary that receive payment at pay point | PSP PR | Bi-monthly |
| PT_0028 | % of beneficiaries who received payment through mobile payment unit | PSP PR | Bi-monthly |
| PT_0029 | % of community members who report that that had to pay someone to collect cash | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| PT_0030 | Date of last payment or maybe % of payment delayed > 2 consecutive payment cycles | MIS | Quarterly |
| PT_0031 | % of beneficiaries who are satisfied with payment process. | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| PT_0032 | % of beneficiaries who report that the payment arrive on the day they were told | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |
| PT_0033 | % of beneficiaries who report receiving the expected amount | Independent Monitoring Check | Annually |

Effective Case Management

Outputs: Effective Case Management

| Table 1: Appeals process | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|------------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| CM_001 | Number of appeals forms submitted to CLIC per quarter | TBD via case mgmt | quarterly |
| CM_002 | % of appeals acted on | TBD via case mgmt | quarterly |
| CM_003 | Category of appeal | TBD via case mgmt | quarterly |
| CM_004 | % of appeals related to inclusion error | ' | quarterly |
| CM_005 | % of appeals related to exclusion error | TBD via case mgmt | quarterly |
| CM_006 | % of new inclusion because of appeals | TBD via case mgmt | quarterly |
| CM_007 | % of exclusions because of appeals | TBD via case mgmt | quarterly |
| Table 2: Claims and complaints | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| CM_008 | Number of complaints received | District Quarterly Forms, in future via case mgmt | Quarterly |
| CM_009 | Number of beneficiaries and programme staff aware of complaint mechanism | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| CM_010 | Complaints by type | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_011 | Quality of complementary services (% of all complaints) | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_012 | Payment discrepancies | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_013 | Programme management | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_014 | Programme documentation | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_015 | % of complaints resolved | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_016 | Average duration of complaint resolution per month (time: hours or days) | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_017 | Number of complaints resolved in the last three months | | |
| CM_018 | % of complainants receiving feedback within specified timeframe | District Quarterly Form, in future via case mgmt | Quarterly |
| CM_019 | Average duration of appeals resolution per month (time: hours or days) | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_020 | % of systems complaints resolved within xxx days (provided this is not the same as above) | TBD via case mgmt | bi-monthly |
| CM_021 | % of HH who report filing a complaint in the last 6 months | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| Table 3: Household visits | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| CM_022 | Frequency of case management visits /contacts | District Quarterly Form | Quarterly |
| CM_023 | % of districts reporting at least 5 home visits in last 3 months | District Quarterly Form | Quarterly |

Limit Fraud and Error

Outputs: Limit Fraud and Error

| Table 1: Limit fraud and error | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| ERR_001 | % payments made using ID verification | PYMT RPTG | bi-monthly |
| ERR_002 | % of HH reporting knowing someone who was offered bribes or exposed to intimidation by any LEAP program official | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| ERR_003 | % of HH reporting knowing how to update their program information | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| ERR_004 | % of beneficiaries who have either been over- or under-paid. | PYMT RPTG | Quarterly |
| ERR_005 | % of beneficiaries who had been asked to give a share of the benefit to people involved in the administrative, payment or service | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| ERR_006 | % of beneficiaries who had their payments manually delivered instead of via electronic payment. | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |
| ERR_007 | % of times an armed officer/escort was present during payment | PYMT RPTG | Bi-monthly |

Functioning MIS System

Outputs: Functioning MIS System

| Table 1: LEAP MIS | | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| MIS_001 | % Indicators that are updated regularly | Excel CRF/eventually MIS report | Annual |
| MIS_002 | Number of MIS modules operational (i.e. ready to be used) | DSW-MIS expert | Quarterly |
| MIS_003 | Number of staff trained to use MIS | DSW-MIS expert | months |
| MIS_004 | MIS produces regular reports on all functional modules | DSW-MIS expert | Quarterly |

Outputs: Functioning M&E System

| Table 1: LEAP Reporting | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|-----------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| ME_001 | % of districts submitting compliant LEAP District Forms two weeks after submission deadline | DSW QR | Quarterly |
| ME_002 | LEAP National Quarterly Report published and disseminated within 2 weeks after the end of the quarter | LMU (M&E Officer) | Quarterly |
| ME_003 | LEAP National Annual report published and disseminated within 1 month of the close of the year | LMU(M&E Officer) | Annually |
| ME_004 | % of quarterly reports sent to districts | District Quaterly Forms | Annually |
| ME_005 | % of expected indicators available in the annual report. | LMU(Annual National Report) | Annually |
| ME_006 | Number of Supervision visit undertaken by HQ in the last quarter | District Quaterly Forms | Annually |
| ME_007 | Number of stakeholders and agencies who receive periodic national-level reports | LMU | Annually |
| Table 2: LEAP Supervision | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| ME_009 | Number of Supervision visit undertaken by HQ in the last quarter | District Quartely Forms (Via activity log) | Quarterly |
| ME_010 | Number of monitoring visits undertaken by the RSWOs in the last quarter | District Quarterly Forms (Via activity log) | Quarterly |
| ME_011 | % of districts to receive an external spot check visit | Independent Spot Checks | Annually |

Strengthened Linkages

Outputs: Strengthened Linkages

| Table 1: Coordination with Social Protection Agencies | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| Link_001 | Number of MoUs signed | LMU | Annually |
| Link_002 | Number of SP programmes using CTM | Annual Report | Annually |
| | | | |
| Table 2 :Access to complementary services | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| Link_003 | % of beneficiaries who are aware of the full range of complementary services | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annual |
| Link_004 | Number of DLIC meeting where coordination of SP programmes was discussed | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Link_005 | % of beneficiaries that the District LEAP Implementation Committee (DLIC) linked to other social protection (SP) programmes. | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |

Adequate Capacity

Outputs: Adequate Capacity

| Table 1: Training and capacity building at district level | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| Cap_001 | % of DSWOs who received training in the last 3 months | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_002 | % of districts with a new DSWO (less than one year) | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_003 | Average number of permanent staff | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_004 | % of districts with at least 2 permanent staff | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_005 | % of districts reporting attending LEAP training in the past 3 months | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_006 | % of district reporting attending at least one DLIC meeting | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_007 | Average level of funding to district social welfare offices | Financial Reporting | Annually |
| Cap_008 | % of districts that have spoken with the CLIC member at least once in the last quarter | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Table 2: LMU staff numbers | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| Cap_009 | Number of training session held for LMU staff | LMU | Every six months |
| Cap_010 | % of staff who attended training session | LMU | Every six months |
| Table 3: Equipment | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| Cap_011 | % of districts with at least one working camera | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_012 | % of districts with at least one working printer/scanner | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_013 | % of districts with at least one working computer | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_014 | % of district with at least one working photocopier | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_015 | % of districts with regular access to at least one working motorbike | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_016 | % of districts with at least 2 working bicycles | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_017 | % of districts reporting access to a modem % of districts with aces to modem for use on | Independent Monitoring Checks | Annually |
| Cap_018 | % of districts who report access to LEAP printed materials | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_019 | Average number of computers per district office. | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Table 4: LEAP implementation capacity at sub national level | | | |
| Indicator Number | Indicator | Source | Frequency |
| Cap_019 | Number of DLICs meetings held in the last three months | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_020 | % DLICs members present in the last DLIC meeting | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_021 | Proportion of DLIC members who receive any training in the last year | District Quarterly Forms | Annually |
| Cap_022 | # of CLIC trainings reported by DSWO (via activity log) | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_023 | % of districts reporting at least 1 CLIC meeting per community in the last three months | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_024 | % of districts receiving support from District Assembly | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |
| Cap_025 | Average amount of money received for LEAP activities | District Quarterly Forms | Quarterly |

Resourcing Sustainable

Outputs: Sustainable resourcing

| Table 1: Resources | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| Indicator Number | | Source | Frequency |
| Sust_001 | Total amount spent | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_002 | Total of CT as a percentage of the national budget | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_003 | LEAP program funds as a % of poverty reduction expenditure | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_004 | LEAP program funds as a % of Tax revenue | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_005 | LEAP program funds as a % of GDP | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_006 | % of funds committed to funds actually received | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_007 | % of funds required to funds actually received | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_008 | Total operational cost | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_009 | Operational cost as a % of LEAP cost | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_010 | Operational costs per beneficiary HH | Financial Reporting | Annual |
| Sust_011 | % of LEAP expenditure financed by government | Financial Reporting | Annually |
| Sust_012 | % of operational costs (relative to grants). | Financial Reporting | Annually |
| Sust_014 | % of funds released against budgeted funds | Financial Reporting | Annually |
| Sust_015 | Change over time in the real value of grant. | Comparison to price index/inflation rate | Every two years |